1 THE HONORABLE BENJAMIN H. SETTLE 2 3 4 5 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 7 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA 8 9 DONALD ARTHUR YAW and No. 3:18-cv-05405-BHS MARIETTA DIANNE YAW, Husband 10 and Wife, STIPULATION AND ORDER FOR DISMISSAL OF DEFENDANT 11 Plaintiffs, HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL INC. 12 v. 13 AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION, individually and as 14 successor-in-interest to BUFFALO PUMPS, INC., et al., 15 Defendants. 16 17 **STIPULATION** 18 Plaintiffs Donald Arthur Yaw and Marietta Dianne Yaw ("Plaintiffs") and Defendant 19 Honeywell International Inc., Successor-in-Interest to AlliedSignal Inc., which is the 20 Successor-in-Interest to Allied Corporation, which in turn is the Successor-in-Interest to the 21 Bendix Corporation (all of which will hereinafter be referred to as "Honeywell"), by and through 22 their respective counsel of record, hereby stipulate and agree that all claims against Defendant 23 Honeywell only may be dismissed in their entirety with prejudice and without costs to either 24 party. 25 26

STIPULATION AND ORDER FOR DISMISSAL OF DEFENDANT HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL INC. (No. 3:18-cv-05405-BHS) –1

Perkins Coie LLP 1201 Third Avenue, Suite 4900 Seattle, WA 98101-3099 Phone: 206.359.8000 Fax: 206.359.9000

1	DATED this 18th day of October 2018.	
2	SCHROETER GOLDMARK & BENDER PI	ERKINS COIE LLP
3		
4	By: /s/Thomas J. Breen Thomas J. Breen, WSBA No. 34574	y: /s/Kristine E. Kruger Mary Z. Gaston, WSBA No. 27258
5	Attorneys for Plaintiffs Donald Arthur Yaw	MGaston@perkinscoie.com Kristine E. Kruger, WSBA No. 44612
6	and Marietta Dianne Yaw	KKruger@perkinscoie.com
7		ttorneys for Defendant oneywell International Inc.
8		
9	ORDER	
10	The Court having considered the Stipulation by the Plaintiffs and Defendant Honeywell	
11	and being fully advised in the premises now, therefore, hereby ORDERS that all claims against	
12	defendant Honeywell only are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.	
13	FURTHER, the Court finds that there is no just reason for delaying entry of final	
14	judgment in regard to Honeywell. Based on the foregoing finding, the Court hereby directs that	
15	final judgment be entered dismissing all claims against Defendant Honeywell.	
16		
17	DATED this 22nd day of October, 2018.	
18		
19	$\langle AC \rangle$	
20	Dept \ Section	
21	BENJAMIN H. SETTLE United States District Judge	
22	Cinica States District stage	
23		
24		
25		
26		
ļ		

1	Presented by:
2	PERKINS COIE LLP
3	/s/Kristine E. Kruger
4	Kristine E. Kruger, WSBA No. 44612 KKruger@perkinscoie.com
5	
6	Attorneys for Defendant Honeywell International Inc.
7	
8	SCHROETER GOLDMARK & BENDER
9	By: /s/Thomas J. Breen Thomas J. Breen, WSBA No. 34574
0	
1	Attorneys for Plaintiffs Donald Arthur Yaw and Marietta Dianne Yaw
12	
3	
4	
.5	
6	
17	
8	
9	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

STIPULATION AND ORDER FOR DISMISSAL OF DEFENDANT HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL INC. (No. 3:18-cv-05405-BHS) -3

Perkins Coie LLP 1201 Third Avenue, Suite 4900 Seattle, WA 98101-3099 Phone: 206.359.8000 Fax: 206.359.9000